ΑD					

Award Number: W81XWH-07-1-0032

TITLE: Vaccine Immunotherapy for Prostate Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: David M. Lubaroff, PhD

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242

REPORT DATE: Annual

TYPE OF REPORT: May 2011

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

Form Approved REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE OMB No. 0704-0188 Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense. Washington Headquarters Services. Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS. 1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE 3. DATES COVERED (From - To) Annual 14-MAY-2011 15 APR 2010 - 14 APR 2011 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER Vaccine Immunotherapy for Prostate Cancer W81XWH-07-1-0032 5b. GRANT NUMBER PC061667 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER David M. Lubaroff, PhD åæçãåË`àæ{[~O`ā[æÈà` 5e. TASK NUMBER 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER University of Iowa Iowa City, IA 52242 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical research and Materiel Command 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT Fort Detrick, MD NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Distribution Unlimited

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

The purpose of the re—search supported by t his award is to conduct a Phase II clinical —trial (study) of an adenovirus/PSA (Ad/PSA) vaccine for the treatment of prostate cancer. Two protocols have been used in the trial: #1 - Phase II study of Adenovirus/PSA vaccine in men with recurrent prostate cancer after local therapy; and #2 - Phase II study of Adenovirus/PSA vaccine in men with hormone refractory prostate cancer. In the first protocol men with newly recurrent prostate cancer were randomized to one of—two arms of the study. Patients in Arm A received the Ad/PSA vaccine only; three injections spaced 30 days apart. Patient s in Arm B received androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) followed at day 14 by the Ad/PSA vaccine, again with three injections. In the second protocol men with hormone refractory prostate cancer were injected with the vaccine only, three injections 30 days apart. The patients were followed for toxicity, the development of anti-PSA immu ne responses, and evidence of a clinical effect of the vaccination. The latter includes changes in serum PSA and prostatic acid ph osphatase (PAP) levels and in the PSA doubling times (PSADT). Patients in—protocol #2 also have CT and bone—scans to monitor

15.SUBJECT Prostate (TERMS cancer;	immunotherapy;	vaccine

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
			OF ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT	C. THIS PAGE U	עט	9	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	4
Body	4-7
Key Research Accomplishments	7-9
Reportable Outcomes	9
Conclusion	9
References	10

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the re search supported by this award is to conduct a Phase II clinical trial (Study) of an adenovirus/PSA (Ad/PSA) vaccine for the treatment of prostate cancer. Two protocols are being used in the trial: #1 – Phase II study of adenovirus/PSA vaccine in men with recurrent prostate cancer after local therapy, and #2 – Phase II study of adenovirus/PSA vaccine in men with hormone refractory prostate cancer. In the first protocol men with recent documentation of recurrent prostate cancer are randomized to one of two arms of the study. Patients in Arm A receive the Ad/ PSA vaccine only; three injections spaced 30 days apart . Patients in Arm B will receive androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) followed at day 14 by the first of three Ad/PSA injections. In the second protocol men with hormone refractory prostate cancer are injected with the vaccine only, three injections 30 days apart. The patients are f ollowed for toxicity, the development of anti-PSA immune responses, and evidence of a clinical effect of the vaccination. The latter includes changes in serum PSA and prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP), and the PSA doubling times (PSADT). Patients in protocol #2 also have CT and b one scans to monitor their prostate cancer.

BODY:

The first year of the award, from April 1, 2007 through March 31, 2008, was occupied by negotiations and submissions of documents to the DOD's PCRP, including the Human Subjects Research Review Board (HSRRB), the FDA, NIH's Recombinant DNA Re view Committee (RAC), the University of Iowa IRB, the Iowa Ci ty VA Medical Center IRB, and the Iowa City VA Medical Center Research and Development Committee. During the second and third years we have been recruiting pa tients, evaluating their eligibility, screening them for adherence to our entry criteria, vaccinating them and followin g their clinical and immunological responses according to the schedule described in the protocols.

Recruitment - Patients were initially recruited into the trial from the Urology Clinic in the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics (UIHC) and the Urology Servic e at the adjacent Iowa City VA Medical Center. Additional recruitment was through (1) Referrals from private practice physicians (urologists, medical oncologists, and radiation oncologists) following the mailing of a letter sent to these physicians in the State of Iowa and bordering regions of Nebraska, Missouri, Illinois, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. A follow-up letter to the same physician mailing list was sent in 2009, a third letter was sent follo wing protocol modifications in 2010, and a fourth letter was sent during the 2010 holiday season. Referrals from academic physicians (urologists, medical oncologists, and radiation oncologists) following a mailing of a letter sent to acad emic and VA medical centers in the same geographic area's covered by the let ters to the private practice physicians. Follow-up letters were sent early in 2010 and a gain at the holiday season. (2) The trial is listed on www.clinicaltrials.gov website. (3) Presentation of results from the Phase II trial of the Ad/PSA vaccine at the annual meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research Oncology (ASCO), the ASCO Genitourinary (AACR), the American Society of Clinical Malignancies Conference, and the Fall Symposium of the Society for Basic Urologic Research (SBUR), and the North Central Section of the American Uro logic Association (AUA). (4) Talks to prostate cancer survivor support groups in at the University of Iowa, Mercy Medical Center in Cedar Rapids, IA, and the Us TOO chapter in Rock Island, IL. (4) Publication in the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics' "Pacemaker" magazine with a "Q & A" with me about the trial. (5) Publication of the trial in the Unive rsity of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics' "UI Consult." This is a communication that is mailed to all physicians on a very large mailing list. The publication reaches a larger group of physicians than did our list for the letters, particularly family practice and general practice physicians. (6) Publication of the trial in the University of low a Hospitals

and Clinics' "Medicine" magazine. The PI was interviewed and photo graphed for the article. Other participants in the article are the Co-PI of the award Dr. Richard Williams and one of our trial patients. (7) Publication in the Department of Veterans Affairs "VA Currents," that is sent via the internet and hard copy to VA Medical Centers.

We have consented and screened a total of 64 patients for eligibility into the two protocols in the study. Thirty patients were screened for Protocol #1, 22 from the state of lowa and 8 from outside the state. Of these 30, 21 were deemed eligible based upon our inclusion and exclusion criteria; 13 were randomized to Arm A, vaccine only, and 8 were randomized to Arm B, androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) plus vaccin e. Nine subjects failed screening; 1 patient for seminal vesicle involvement at origin al pathology, 1 with a positive CT scan, 1 had an infection at the time of screening, 1 had an ineligible screening bilirubin, 1 with a PSADT of less than 6 months, and 3 had a decreased PSA value and are pending further evaluation. One patient had castrate levels of testosterone when evaluated further. And one patient previously reported as a screen failure became eligible and was treated. This su bject was not counted twice, even though consented and screened at different time points.

Thirty four patients were consented for Protocol #2; 21 from Iowa and 13 from outside the state. Of these 34, 16 patients have been treated and 1 is still awaiting screening. Seventeen patients have failed screening; 4 failed for positive bone scans wit h exclusionary PSA values, 5 for positive CT scan, 1 for additional carcinoma, 5 for declining PSA, 1 for aggressive progression, and one subject died suddenly prior to study treatment. And one patient previously reported as a screen failure became eligible a nd was treated. This subject was not counted twice, even though consented and screened at different time points.

We also have received inquiries by telephone or e-mail from 347 patients as a result of our registering the trial on the website www.clinicaltrials.gov and other recruitment efforts. During the last quarter, we received 34 inquiries; 25 of which we re phone screen negative, and 9 of which are pending further evaluation.

Enrollment - After all approvals were obtained patients enrolled during the current year are listed in Table 1.

Table 1
Patients Enrolled from April 15, 2010 to April 14, 2011

Patient ID	Protocol	Arm	Information
APIIAHN-08	1	Α	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 12 months.
APIIAHN-09	1	Α	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 9 months.
APIIAHN-10	1	Α	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 6 months.
APIIAHN-11	1	Α	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 90 days.
APIIAHN-12	1	Α	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 74 days.
APIIAHN-13	1	Α	Received 2 vaccinations and completed visits to 44 days.
APIIAADT-06	1	В	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 9 months.
APIIAADT-07	1	В	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 9 months.

APIIAADT-08	1	В	Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 74 days.
APIIB-12	2		Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 9 months.
APIIB-13	2		Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 9 months.
APIIB-14	2		Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 9 months.
APIIB-15	2		Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 90 days.
APIIB-16	2		Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 74 days.
APIIB-17	2		Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 60 days.
APIIB-18	2		Received all 3 vaccinations and completed visits to 60 days.
APIIB-19	2		Received 1 vaccination only.

Adverse Events – During the period of report t here were two serious adverse events, both deemed unrelated to the vaccine. They are detailed below.

Patient in Protocol #1

66 year old male subject who was r andomized to arm A of this study (hormones plus vaccine) received the first of three study injections on the 08/12/10. Had a history of periodontal disease, ear pain, a bunion, ankle pain, kidn ey stones and prostate cancer. He had no histo ry of cardiac issues and no prior chest pain history. Medic ations included Zoladex on 07/28/10, Casodex, herpes zoster vaccine given on 08/04/10, trazodone. The study requires a routine overnight stay in the clinical research unit for observation after vaccination. On 8/13 /10 in am u pon routine evaluation by the study team, he reported that he had had three hours of chest pain between 3 am and 7 am on August 13th. He did not infor m the research unit nursing staff in the inpatient unit about this, but instead revealed this to the study team in the morning prior to his planned discharge assessment. Patient stated "he thou ght it was related to sleeping on a hospital bed, and that it had changed character and lo cation at 6 A M, going from his ster num to his abdomen". He was pr omptly evaluated by the research team and had an EKG and troponin which were unremarkable. He was admitted to the cardiac unit at UIHC through the ER as a precaution, for standard rule-out myocardial in farction procedures. He was discharged per the cardiology team on 08/ 14/10 without further complications and did not have a myocardial infarction. A nuclear medicine stress test was negative at the end of his hospital stay. The event was deemed unlikely to be related to the Ad5-PSA vaccine.

This is the first incidence of chest pains during this Phase II trial. The patient was enrolled in Arm B of Protocol #1 of the Phase II trial and had received androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) 14 days prior to the initial vaccination with the Ad5-PSA vaccine. The ADT included the LHRH agonist Zoladex plus the anti-androgen Casodex. The package insert for Casodex indicates that 8% of patients on ADT of LHRH agoni sts and C asodex experienced chest pains (http://www1.astrazeneca-us.com/pi/casodex.pdf).

Patient in Protocol #2

77 year old male subject enrolled in this study received the third of th ree study injections on 8/12/10. He had a history of coro nary artery disea se with stenting, the last stent placed approximately two years ago. In addition, his me dical history includes prostate cancer; hearing loss; placement of int ra-ocular lens post cataract, vitreous detachment, and presbyopia; hyperlipidemia, old myocardial infarction and related sequelae, atherosclerosis, hypertension, angina pectoris and atypical chest pain; gastroesophag eal reflux disorder, hemorrhoids, diverticulosis; dysthymia, major d epressive disorder in remission; lumbago an d joint pain; cellulitis (resolved); alcohol depen dency in r emission; tobacco use disorder. Medications included Goserelin, E rgocalciferol, Cholecalciferol, Aspirin, Calcium with Vitamin D. Cholestyramine, Clopidogrel, Gabapentin, Gemfibrozil, Isosorbide Mononitrate, Lisinopr il, Metoprolol, Nitroglycerin tabs, Nortryptyline, Omeprazole, Terazosin, Triamcinolone, Pravastatin.

This subject had received his third study injection on 8/12/10 and had been discharged 8/13/10. On 8/16/10 he arrived at his primary care clinic and reported that he had experienced chest pain with jaw numbness while driving to the clinic, took nitroglycerin and aspirin, and the pain went away quickly; he was not short of breath nor diaphoretic, but was started on oxygen, per the primary care clinician's note in CPRS. That note further states that the subject reported that his chest pain had been increasing in frequency over the last three months and that even though he had received a recommendation to call cardiology by the hematology/oncology clinic, he chose not to. (There is a study team note in CPRS dat ed July 29, 2010, signed by the research nurse and also by the primary care provider in which it is documented that the subject reported on Day 44 of the study that he had been h aving more chest pain episodes "in the last four months or so" and that he knew he needed to call the car diologists.) The primary care clinician consulted cardiology and sent the subject to the ER for accelerated angina.

Per CPRS documentation the subject reported to the ER provider that he had be en having substernal chest pain and pressure exclusively at rest for about thre e months and that this episode was the same as past episodes; sub ject at that point was chest pain free, without palpitations, lightheadedness, or dyspnea. This clinician assessed the subject condition as "concerning for unstable angina" and admitted the subject to the VAMC for observation and standard rule-out myoc ardial infarction and ischemia procedures. Cardiology note in CPRS reports EKG was negative, troponin was negative twice, stress test was negative, no reversible defect was noted. Cardiology planned to continue the aspirin, clopidogrel, metoprolol, lisinopril, and isosorbide, and to consider increasing the latter if chest pain frequency increases but that this was not indicated at this time since the episodes occur once every 2-3 weeks; cardiology also increased the subject's pravastatin since the low density lipoprotein was greater than 90 and not at goal. The subject was discharged to home on 8/17/10 and directed to follow up with cardiology as outpatient. This is the second incidence of chest pains during this Phase II trial. The patient has hormo ne-refractory prostate cancer with prior androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT). He no longer is on Casodex

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

For each patient we collected serum for future measurements of anti-PSA and anti-adenovirus antibodies, isolated lymphocytes from the peripheral blood for the measurement of anti-PSA and anti-adenovirus T cell responses, and measured serum levels of PSA and PAP.

PSA Doubling Times (PSADT) – One of the measurements used to follow the clinica I pattern of prostate cancer before and after therapy is the change in doubling time of the serum PSA levels. We have evaluated the PSADT of some of the patients in the tria, but have not done so for patients in this grant year due to a change in laboratory personnel. The data for the patients enrolled in the current ye ar are being collected and PSADT will be calculated and reported in future quarterly and annual reports. Table 2 demonstrates that of the nine evaluable patients, on whom we had sufficient data to calculate both pre-vaccination and post-vaccination PSADT values, seven or 78%, either had an increase in PSADT or a decline in total PSA and two or 22% had a decrease in the PSADT values. There are four enrolled patients that have too few post-vaccination PSA values to calculate their after treatment PSADT.

Table 2
PSA Doubling Times (PSADT)

Patient	PS.	Percent Change	
	Pre-Vaccination	Post-Vaccination	
APIIAHN-09	16.5	(PSA decline)	(-8.9%) ^a
APIIAHN-10	13.44	(PSA decline)	(-9.3%)
APIIAHN-11	9.96	Too few to calc.	
APIIAHN-12	52.2	Too few to calc.	
APIIAHN-13	18.59	Too few to calc.	
APIIB-12	12.7	72.3	+469.8%
APIIB-13	1.6	5.6	+250.0%
APIIB-14	30.2	20.5	-32.1
APIIB-15	6.3	(PSA decline)	(-13.0%)
APIIB-16	12.2	(PSA decline)	(-1.7%)
APIIB-17	8.63	5.8	-33.4
APIIB-18	7.11	14.01	+97.47
APIIB-19	17.7	Too few to calc.	

Overall as of 4/15/11 - 7/9 evaluable patients (78%) demonstrated either an increase in PSADT or a decline I total PSA and 2/9 patients (22%) demonstrated a decrease in PSADT.

ELISPOT Analysis of Anti-PSA T Lymphocytes Immune Responses – Since the primary arm of the immune respon se to tumor associated antigens has been documented as the T cell-mediated response, we e xamined the development of the responses over time after the initiation of vaccination. At each patient visit we obtained peripheral blood and isolated the lymphocytes by density gradient centrifugation. The majority of the lymphoc suspended in a cryopreservative solution and stored in liquid nitrogen for future analyses. At the end of t he first 12 months following the initiation of therapy all of the samples for each patient will be thawed and an ELISPOT assay performed at one time. This is done to avoid inter-assay variability and will allow us to accurately compare the responses at each time point. When the lymphoc yte yields were large su ch that we were able to cryopreserve sufficient numbers of cells for that single assay and have extra cells, we performed the ELISPOT assays on the freshly isolated cells. This is permitting us to obtain some preliminary anti-PSA T cells responses for the patients at the appropriate time points. However, the more definitive assays will be those performed on the stor ed cells after the 12 month ti me point. In the first ye ar we did not do the 12 month assays, but report here the result s of assays

performed on patient samples when sufficient cells were available. Again, because of a change in laboratory personnel, ELISPOT data are not available for the patients enrolled in the current year. They are being analyzed and will be pr esented in future quarterly and annual reports. Table 3 provides the data for the patients previo usly analyzed. For the patients in p rotocol #1, Arm A, 2/2 (100%) developed positive anti-PSA T cell responses. For patients in protocol #1, Arm B, 2/2 (100%) developed positive anti-PSA T cell responses. For patients in protocol #2, 3/6 (50%) developed strong responses and 2/6 (33%) developed modest responses. For all patients in this protocol 5/6 (83%) developed positive anti-PSA T cell responses. For all patients in both protocols, 90% developed some level of anti-PSA T cell responses, with 70% developing strong responses.

Table 3
Ad/PSA Phase II Clinical Trial
ELISPOT Analysis of T Cell Responses

Patient	T Cell F	Response	
	Pre-Vaccination	Post-Vaccination	
APIIAHN-01	1/2X10E6	1/24,096	+
APIIAHN-02	1/33,000	1/12,000	+
APAADT-01	1/500,000	1/10,050	+
APAADT-02	1/46,512	1/7,463	+
APIIB-01	1/11,426	1/4,357	-
APIIB-02	1/1x10E8	1/10,870	+
APIIB-04	1/500,000	1/8,511	+
APIIB-05	1/130,000	1/2,850	+
APIIB-06	1/154,000	1/51,300	+/-
APIIB-07	1/133,000	1/64,500	+/-

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:

Presentation of results from the Phase II trial of the A d/PSA vaccine at the annual meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research (AACR), the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), the ASCO Genitourinary Malignancies Conference, and the Fall Symposium of the Society for Basic Urologic Research (SBUR), the North Central Section of the American Urologic Association (AUA), and the DOD's IMPaCT meeting.

CONCLUSION:

Patients were enrolled in both proto cols, vaccinated three times and followed by return visits to the University of Iowa Ho spitals and Clinics and Iowa City VA Medical Center. No serious vaccine-related adverse events were reported for any of the patients. In the analysis of serum PSA and immu ne responses to PSA following the vaccinations, 67% of the patients demonstrated an increase in PSADT and 9 0% developed some level of anti-PSA T cel I responses, with 70% developing strong responses.

REFERENCES:

Vaena, D, Williams, RD, Joudi, F, Smith, M, Zehr, P, E astman, J, Griffith, K, Madsen, T, Johnson, K, and Lubaroff, DM. A Phase II trial of an adenovirus/PSA vaccine for prostate cancer. American Association for Cancer Research Annual Meeting 2009.

Lubaroff, DM, Vaena, D, Williams, RD, Joudi, F, Smith, M, Zehr, P, Eastman, J, Griffith, K, Madsen, T, and Johnson, K. A Phase II trial of an adenovirus/PSA vaccine for prostate cancer. American Society for Clinical Oncology Annual Meeting 2009.

Fadi N. Joudi, Richard D. Williams, Daniel Vae na, Mark Smith, Pa mela Zehr, Julie Eastman, Karen Griffith, Tammy Madsen, Kristine Joh nson, David M. Lubaroff. Phase II Trials of an Adenovirus/PSA Vaccine for Prostate Cancer. North Central Section of the American Urological Association Annual Meeting 2009.

David M. Lubaroff, Daniel Vaena, Fadi Joudi, Mark Smith, Pamela Zehr, Julie Eastman, Karen Griffith, Tammy Madsen, Kristine Johnson, Richard D. Willi ams. A Phase I I Trial of an Adenovirus/PSA Vaccine for Prostate Cancer. Fall Symposium of the Society for Basic Urologic Research 2009.

David M. Lubaroff, Richard D. Williams, Daniel Vaena, Fa di Joudi, Mark Smith, Pamela Zehr, Erica Flanders, Julie Eastman, Karen Griffith, Tammy Madsen, Christine Johnson. Clinical and Immune Data from an Ongoing Phase II Trial of an Adenovirus/PSA Vaccine for Prostate Cancer. American Association for Cancer Research Annual Meeting 2010

David M. Lubaroff, Daniel Vaena, Fadi Joudi, James Brown, Pamela Zehr, Juie Eastman, Karen Griffith, Kristine Johnson, Richard D. Williams. Vaccine Immunotherapy for Prostate Cancer: Clinical and Immune Data from an Ongoing Phase II Trial of an Aden ovirus/PSA Vaccine for Prostate Cancer. IMPaCT Meeting, 2011